Welfare Analysis using Dynare

Tsung-Hsien Li Academia Sinica

March 29, 2024

References

- Schmitt-Grohé and Uribe's paper and lecture notes
- Eric Sims' lecture notes
- Dynare
- Johannes Pfeifer's collection of Dynare models

For many DSGE models

$$\mathbb{E}_t f(y_{t+1}, y_t, x_{t+1}, x_t) = 0 \tag{1}$$

- x_t : predetermined (state); y_t : non-predetermined (control)
- $x_t = [x_t^1; x_t^2]'$ where x_t^1 endogenous and x_t^2 exogenous
- $x_{t+1}^2 = \tilde{h}(x_t^2, \sigma) + \bar{\eta}\sigma\epsilon_{t+1}$

Example—Neoclassical model with fixed labor

Consider

$$\max_{C_t, K_{t+1}} \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t \frac{C_t^{1-\gamma}}{1-\gamma}$$

s.t.
$$C_t + K_{t+1} = A_t K_t^{\alpha} + (1 - \delta) K_t$$

 $\ln A_{t+1} = \rho \ln A_t + \eta_A \epsilon_{t+1}$

(5)

$$C_t^{-\gamma} = \beta \mathbb{E}_t C_{t+1}^{-\gamma} \left(\alpha A_{t+1} K_{t+1}^{\alpha - 1} + 1 - \delta \right)$$

(1)'s representation

- Let $y_t = C_t$, $x_t^1 = K_t$, $x_t^2 = \ln A_t$; so, $x_t = [K_t, \ln A_t]'$
- It follows that

$$\mathbb{E}_{t} f(y_{t+1}, y_{t}, x_{t+1}, x_{t}) = 0$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{t} \begin{bmatrix} y_{1,t}^{-\gamma} - \beta y_{1,t+1}^{-\gamma} \left(\alpha \cdot \exp(x_{2,t+1}) \cdot x_{1,t+1}^{\alpha-1} + 1 - \delta \right) \\ y_{1,t} + x_{1,t+1} - \exp(x_{2,t}) \cdot x_{1,t}^{\alpha} - (1 - \delta) x_{1,t} \\ x_{2,t+1} - \rho x_{2,t} \end{bmatrix}$$
(6)

Perturbation

■ Key idea is to interpret the solution as a function of x_t and σ

$$y_t = g(x_t, \sigma) \tag{7}$$

$$x_{t+1} = h(x_t, \sigma) + \eta \sigma \epsilon_{t+1} \tag{8}$$

- A local approximation that is valid in the neighborhood of given $(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\sigma})$
- Focusing on non-stochastic steady state $(\bar{x}, 0)$
- Taylor expansion at of F, g, h at $(\bar{x}, 0)$ plus some linear algebra

First-, second-order, or beyond?

- First-order: the certainty equivalence principle holds ($g_{\sigma} = h_{\sigma} = 0$)
- lacksquare Policy functions independently on $\Sigma_\epsilon o ext{No}$ effect of uncertainty
 - Cannot study welfare! Why?
 Any policies with same steady state yield same welfare
- We need at least a second-order approximation to study welfare
- For higher-order, it might be helpful but needs pruning and is unclear

Welfare measure

■ Welfare defined as the present discounted value of lifetime utility

$$V_t = \mathbb{E}_t \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^j u(C_{t+j}) = u(C_t) + \beta \mathbb{E}_t V_{t+1}$$
(9)

- Likewise, $y_t = [C_t, V_t]'$ so $V_t = g^V(x_t, \sigma)$
- Welfare cost λ (if CRRA utility with γ)

$$V^{old} = \mathbb{E}_0 \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \beta^t u \left((1 - \lambda) C_t^{new} \right) \tag{10}$$

(Un)conditional welfare

- Non-stochastic welfare (no shocks): \bar{V}
 - Steady-state value without shocks, deterministic
- Unconditional welfare: $\mathbb{E}V$
 - Average welfare with shocks, stochastic mean of V
- Conditional welfare: $\mathbb{E}_t V$
 - Conditional on being in the steady state, but know that the model is stochastic so that shocks may happen in the future (transition)
 - Need to adjust the deterministic mean with $\frac{1}{2}g_{\sigma\sigma}^V\sigma^2$

Dynare implementation

- Non-stochastic welfare (no shocks): \bar{V}
 - oo_.dr.ys(welfare_index)
- Unconditional welfare: $\mathbb{E}V$
 - oo_.mean(welfare_index)
- Conditional welfare: $\mathbb{E}_{ss}V$
 - oo_.dr.ys(Welfare_index) + 0.5 * oo_.dr.ghs2(oo_.dr.inv_order_var(welfare_index))

The example

■ Recall equilibrium conditions

$$C_{t}^{-\gamma} = \beta \mathbb{E}_{t} C_{t+1}^{-\gamma} \left(\alpha A_{t+1} K_{t+1}^{\alpha - 1} + 1 - \delta \right)$$

$$C_{t} + K_{t+1} = A_{t} K_{t}^{\alpha} + (1 - \delta) K_{t}$$

$$\ln A_{t+1} = \rho \ln A_{t} + \eta_{A} \epsilon_{t+1}$$
(13)

■ To study welfare, add

$$V_t = u(C_t) + \beta \mathbb{E}_t V_{t+1}$$
 (14)

Welfare results of varying η_A

- First-order: All welfare measures are the same
- How to cook in exogenous processes matters!
- Larger shock variance, larger difference between $\mathbb{E}V$ and $\mathbb{E}_{ss}V$

Applications

■ Monetary policy (Taylor rule)

$$\hat{R}_{t} = \rho_{R} \hat{R}_{t-1} + (1 - \rho_{R}) \rho_{\pi} \hat{\pi}_{t} + (1 - \rho_{R}) \rho_{y} \hat{y}_{t} + \varepsilon_{t}^{R}$$
(15)

Macroprudential policy

$$\widehat{LTV}_t = \rho_B \hat{B} + \rho_q \hat{q} \tag{16}$$

■ Housing transaction or property tax

Some tips

- Use set_param_value to loop over parameters
- Welfare weighs for two agents (like normalization)

$$W = (1 - \beta_L)V^L + (1 - \beta_H)V^H = u(C^L) + u(C^H)$$
(17)

- Use Dyanre to linearize Phillips curve to keep higher-order effects
 - Need to get a recursive representation
 - c.f. Johannes Pfeifer's Gali_2015_chapter_3_nonlinear.mod